



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/881,728	06/18/2001	Yoichiro Tomari	1163-0341P 8265	
2292 75	90 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW PO BOX 747	ART KOLASCH &	ROBINSON, GRETA LEE		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	<u>-</u> -
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/10/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/881,728	TOMARI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Greta L. Robinson	2168	

	Greta L. Robinson	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-13.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•		•				
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 		$1 M M \Lambda$	nce because:				
13. Other:	(1 10/35/00 01 F10-1448) Faper I						
		Greta Robinson Primary Examiner					

April 6, 2006

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants newly added limitation "wherein the plurality of different types of communications include at least email, telephone and Internet comunications" would require further consideration and updated search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The added limitation changes the scope and would require updated search. Regarding Applicant's argument that the combined prior art does not teach an information history list display section capable of displaying communication history from a plurality of different types of communications"; note Lee et al. teaches displaying a history list [note: Figures 14, 35 and 36]. Kawamoto was cited for teaching a plurality of different types of communication devices [note: cellular telephone 31, personal computer 47 Figure 1]. The motivation to combine would be that a plurality of different types of communication types as opposed to one would enhance the systems efficiency by allowing the system to handle more than one type of communication. Also, it is well known that cell phones and smaller computer systems such as laptop have the ability to communicate email. The examiner respectfully maintains the rejection as outlined in the Offcie Action mailed December 13, 2005.